1. Topic

(1) The case study was very relevant to recent lecture material and incorporated concepts discussed in class.

(½) The case study was somewhat relevant to recent lecture material.

(0) The case study wasn’t really relevant to recent lecture material.

2. Content

(1) I could understand the case study, and it had no content errors.

(½) The case study was not well supported and thus hard to understand.

(0) I really didn’t understand the case study.

3. Engagement

(1) The case study was an interesting application of biochemistry.

(½) The case study was somewhat engaging.

(0) The case study was not interesting.

4. Quality of Writing

(1) The case study was well written with no obvious errors.

(½) The case study had some typos and poor grammar.

(0) The case study needed serious proof reading!

5. Presentation

(1) The presenter spoke well (loud, clear, and engaging) and contributed equally to the presentation.

(½) The presenter was OK, but not as good as his/her partners and/or previous presenters.

(0) The presenter needs to practice their public speaking.